Johnson County, Indiana 2007 Pay 2008 Budget Order

An analysis of the data with the 2007 Pay 2008 Budget Order for Johnson County shows that the budgets, levies and tax rates to be approved are <u>in compliance</u> with Indiana statutes.

Johnson County has four cross-county units and is the major unit for each. Nineveh-Hensley Jackson Schools crosses into Morgan County. Edinburgh Civil Town, Edinburgh Library, and Edinburgh Schools all cross into Bartholomew County.

Johnson County has four conservancy districts, White Lake Conservancy District, Northeast Lake Conservancy District, Hants Lake Conservancy District, and North Lake Conservancy District. A Conservancy District is a special taxing district created to provide flood control, irrigation, potable water or wastewater service within a specified area. The cost of providing these services are charged to landowners in the form of a special assessment based on the benefit provided. These special assessments are added to the property tax bill of each landowner and collected along with property taxes. Since the benefit derived may not relate to the assessed value of the property, these assessments are normally expressed in terms of dollars of assessment and not as a tax rate per \$100 of net assessed value. Therefore, the Conservancy District special assessment has not been included in this analysis.

Changes in Local Tax Levies

Tax levy increases are made up of routine increases in controlled levies, increases in tax rate controlled levies and debt service levies. Controlled levies increase based on an annual growth factor or excess levy appeals. Tax rate controlled levies increase based on increases in assessed value applied to a specific tax rate (tax rates are adjusted downward to eliminate the effect of reassessment or trending).

The total net increase in tax levy for Pay 2008 on a county-wide basis was approximately \$4.8 million or 2.87%. Following are the levy changes that were greater than \$1 million or a 10% change over the previous year levy. The largest total dollar increase in levy was due to the Franklin Community School Corporation (\$3,577,885).

Johnson County

John County								
	Pay 2007	Pay 2008		%				
<u>Fund</u>	Certified Levy	Certified Levy	\$ Change	<u>Change</u>				
Bond #2	\$1,109,058	\$386,488	-\$722,570	-65.15%				
Health	398,464	528,878	130,414	32.73%				
Children's Psychiatric Res Treatment	126,180	-0-	-126,180	-100.00%				

Johnson County Welfare

	Pay 2007	Pay 2008		%
<u>Fund</u>	Certified Levy	Certified Levy	\$ Change	<u>Change</u>
Welfare - Family and Children	\$2,211,476	\$2,007,023	-\$204,453	-9.25%

Total County levy decreased by \$910,579 or 5.25%.

Greenwood Civil City

	Pay 2007	Pay 2008		%
<u>Fund</u>	Certified Levy	Certified Levy	\$ Change	<u>Change</u>
General	\$3,613,231	\$3,761,979	\$148,748	4.12%
Debt Service	1,091,783	335,182	-756,601	-69.30%

Total City levy decreased \$287,067 or 2.55%.

Center Grove Community School Corporation

	Pay 2007	Pay 2008		%
<u>Fund</u>	Certified Levy	Certified Levy	\$ Change	<u>Change</u>
General	\$16,688,489	\$17,758,737	\$1,070,248	6.41%
Bus Replacement	761,088	847,403	86,315	11.34%

Total School levy increase of \$1,999,131 or 5.29%. School received a transportation levy appeal in the amount of \$123,716

Franklin Community School Corporation

	Pay 2007	Pay 2008		%
<u>Fund</u>	Certified Levy	Certified Levy	\$ Change	<u>Change</u>
General	\$9,146,314	\$8,913,061	-\$233,253	-2.55%
Debt Service	8,679,366	12,159,971	3,480,605	40.10%

Total School levy increase of \$3,577,885 or 15.18%. School received a new facility appeal in 2008 for \$432,256.

Whiteland Fire Protection

	Pay 2007	Pay 2008		%
<u>Fund</u>	Certified Levy	Certified Levy	\$ Change	<u>Change</u>
Fire Building Debt	\$9,002	\$237,788	\$228,786	2541.5%

Total District levy increase of \$187,945 or 106.07%.

No units in the County exceeded the working maximum levy. All units in the County levied up to or near their working maximum levy.

No units in the County exceeded the normal statutory growth rate for controlled fund levies.

Changes in Real Property Gross Assessed Values

Real Property Gross Assessed Values increases by property class are as follows.

<u>Class</u>	<u>% Increase</u>
Agriculture	18.45%
Industrial	8.53%
Commercial	6.96%

Residential	0.53%
Exempt	32.46%
Utility	30.95%

Changes in the proportion of Gross Assessed Value by Class can create a burden shift from one class to another. The proportion (mix) of values of real property by class (this can represent the relative tax burden by class, except that it does not include the impact of deductions or credits).

<u>Class</u>	<u>2007 Ratio</u>	<u>2008 Ratio</u>
Agriculture	4.28%	4.90%
Industrial	3.63%	3.81%
Commercial	14.06%	14.53%
Residential	74.99%	72.87%
Exempt	2.92%	3.74%
Utility	0.12%	0.16%

As can be seen from the analysis, a shift from residential property to agricultural, industrial, commercial, exempt, and utility property occurred. This shift was approximately 2.12%.

Change in Net Tax Rates

Changes in net tax rate by district were as follows.

	Change in	Change	Change in Gross	Change in Business Personal Property Net	Change in Real Estate and Other Personal Property Net Tax	Change in Homestead Net Tax
District	NAV	in Levy	Tax Rate	Tax Rate	Rate	Rate
BLUE RIVER TWP	1.00%	2.63%	1.62%	4.79%	5.82%	-4.31%
EDINBURG TOWN- EDINBURG LIBRARY	2.17%	3.05%	0.86%	2.24%	4.51%	-13.14%
BLUE RIVER TWP- AMITY FPD	3.93%	5.34%	1.36%	4.24%	5.38%	-5.10%
CLARK TOWNSHIP	3.77%	0.89%	-2.78%	-0.88%	-0.20%	-5.71%
CLARK TOWNSHIP- NEEDHAM FPD	6.74%	3.82%	-2.74%	-0.86%	-0.11%	-6.13%
CLARK TOWNSHIP- WHITELAND FPD	1.29%	1.69%	0.40%	2.66%	3.58%	-1.89%
FRANKLIN TWNSHIP	-1.13%	10.09%	11.35%	16.42%	18.61%	12.67%
FRANKLIN CITY- FRANKLIN TWP	-1.68%	6.76%	8.59%	11.54%	13.90%	4.78%
WHITELAND TOWN- FRANKLIN TWP-WF	-24.09%	-13.72%	13.66%	18.46%	21.07%	14.20%
FRANKLIN TWP- AMITY FPD	2.17%	13.10%	10.70%	15.45%	17.72%	11.56%
FRANKLIN TWP- NEEDHAM FPD	-12.51%	-2.71%	11.20%	16.22%	18.53%	12.37%
FRANKLIN TWP- BARGERSVILLE FPD	-0.15%	10.05%	10.21%	14.69%	16.74%	11.00%
FRANKLIN TWP- WHITELAND FPD	3.89%	19.09%	14.63%	20.21%	22.69%	17.15%

	ı	1	ı		T	1
HENSLEY TOWNSHIP	1.78%	0.74%	-1.02%	0.26%	1.89%	-7.23%
TRAFALGAR TOWN-						
HENSLEY TWP	1.04%	1.58%	0.53%	1.75%	3.59%	-6.84%
NEEDHAM TOWNSHIP-						
NEEDHAM FPD	6.18%	18.10%	11.23%	16.28%	18.58%	12.48%
FRANKLIN CITY-	3.74%	12.66%	8.60%	11.56%	13.92%	4.84%
NEEDHAM TWP NEEDHAM	3.7470	12.00%	6.00%	11.50%	13.9270	4.0470
TOWNSHIP-AMITY						
FPD FILE	7.77%	19.32%	10.72%	15.50%	17.76%	11.67%
NINEVEH TOWNSHIP-						
NINEVEH FPD	-0.69%	-1.29%	-0.60%	0.78%	2.49%	-6.56%
PRINCES LAKES						
TOWN-NINEVEH FPD	1.97%	1.40%	-0.57%	0.58%	2.52%	-8.93%
TRAFALGAR TOWN-						
NINEVEH TWP	0.00%	0.52%	0.52%	1.74%	3.58%	-6.85%
PLEASANT TWP-CP SCHOOL	-0.63%	-3.20%	-2.59%	-0.69%	0.00%	-5.82%
PLEASANT TWP-					2.20.0	5.52.0
GREENWOOD SCHOOL	26.43%	21.95%	-3.54%	-0.47%	0.33%	-9.36%
GRNWD CITY-PLEAS	20.TJ /0	21.33 /0	J.JT /0	0.77 /0	0.5570	9.50 /0
TWP-CP SCHOOL	2.63%	-0.50%	-3.05%	-1.55%	-0.90%	-7.74%
GRNWD CITY-PLEAS TWP-GRNWD SCH	-2.47%	-6.29%	-3.92%	-1.70%	-1.02%	-11.27%
NEW WHITELAND	-					
TOWN	-1.04%	-2.87%	-1.85%	-0.18%	0.78%	-7.67%
WHITELAND TOWN- PLEAS TWP-WHITE	-1.37%	-0.53%	0.85%	2.94%	3.96%	-2.80%
FRANKLIN CITY-	6.000/	7.000/	4.070/	0.070/	4.250/	7.550/
PLEASANT TWP GRNWD CITY-PLEAS	-6.08%	-7.08%	-1.07%	0.37%	1.35%	-7.55%
TWP-CP SCHOOL	16.08%	12.72%	-2.89%	-1.36%	-0.69%	-7.51%
PLEASANT TWP-CP	10.740/	21.000/	2.700/	0.020/	0.260/	C 110/
SCHOOL-GRNWD L PLEASANT TWP-	-19.74%	-21.98%	-2.79%	-0.92%	-0.26%	-6.11%
GRNWD SCHOOL-						
GRNW	-19.88%	-22.92%	-3.80%	-0.82%	-0.08%	-9.79%
PLEASANT TWP- WHITELAND FPD	-0.10%	0.33%	0.43%	2.70%	3.61%	-1.83%
UNION TOWNSHIP	-4.99%	5.27%	10.80%	15.76%	17.85%	12.05%
BARGERSVILLE						
TOWN-UNION TWP- BF	-0.07%	5.54%	5.61%	8.52%	10.35%	3.07%
UNION TOWNSHIP-	-0.07 70	J.JT70	J.U170	0.3270	10.3370	J.07 70
BFPD	-0.26%	9.97%	10.26%	14.78%	16.81%	11.17%
WHITE RIVER TWP- BFPD	10.16%	9.33%	-0.75%	0.68%	2.70%	-2.87%
WHITE RIVER TWP-						
WHITE RIVER FP	-1.84%	-2.18%	-0.34%	1.16%	3.06%	-2.48%
BARGERSVILLE TOWN-WHITE						
RIVER	7.93%	4.20%	-3.46%	-2.81%	-1.21%	-8.92%
GRNWD CITY-						
WHITE RIVER TWP-	2.95%	1.75%	-1.16%	-0.03%	1.75%	-5.58%
GRNWD CITY-WR						
TWP-CG SCH-CNTY GWD CITY-PLEAS	22.03%	18.52%	-2.88%	-2.07%	-0.35%	-6.22%
TWP-GWD SCH-CO	-2.01%	-5.66%	-3.72%	-1.44%	-0.72%	-10.96%
GRNWD CITY-WR						
TWP-GRNWD SCH- CO	-4.24%	-7.80%	-3.72%	-1.44%	-0.72%	-10.97%
	112 1 /0	7.0070	3.7270	1111/0	0.7 2 /0	10.77 /0

HENSLEY FPD- FRANKLIN TWP	-1.28%	9.33%	10.74%	15.66%	17.77%	11.86%
EDINBURG TOWN- BLUE RIVER TWP-						
COUNTY LIBRARY	0.95%	1.59%	0.64%	2.01%	4.26%	-13.40%
GWD CITY-CP- CLARK TWP-CO LIB	23624.85%	22888.39%	-3.10%	-3.10%	-0.93%	-7.67%
WHITELAND TOWN EAST - WHITELAND						
FPD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Average	2.10%	2.86%	4.47%	7.25%	8.72%	0.65%

Downward pressure on tax rates came from increases in Assessed Value due to trending and the increased Homestead Credit rate.

Upward pressure on tax rates came from increases in tax levies, especially debt levies that don't benefit from PTRC or homestead credits.

The estimated reduction in net homestead tax bills for Johnson County is 17.28%.

Assessment Status

The DLGF has prepared an analysis of assessed valuation change by property class based on a review of parcel data. The results of this analysis are summarized in the table below:

Percent of Parcels with Identified Assessed Valuation Change

Property <u>Class</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	No Change	0 - 10% <u>Increase</u>	10 - 30% <u>Increase</u>	30 - 100% <u>Increase</u>	More Than <u>Doubled</u>
Commercial	16.3%	25.7%	11.7%	15.1%	15.9%	15.4%
Industrial	11.2%	33.0%	14.8%	13.0%	11.5%	16.5%
Residential	62.4%	14.6%	14.0%	5.0%	1.2%	2.7%
Overall	59.9%	15.3%	13.9%	5.6%	2.0%	3.4%

TIF Neutralization Worksheets

The County has several Tax Increment Areas. A Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Neutralization Worksheet must be completed for each TIF District in order to calculate tax increment generated by real property growth rather than increases in assessed valuation due to reassessment or trending. The TIF Neutralization Worksheets were reviewed for both numerical accuracy and for logical analysis and completeness.